

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/993,026	NEAUX, JEAN-CLAUDE	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Maerena W. Brevard	3727	

**All Participants:**

(1) Maerena W. Brevard

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. John Gresens.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 August 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5, and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Stemmler

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The applicant's representative agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to overcome the prior art and place the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)